

Influence Of ‘Pretty Privilege’ On Jury Sentencing Decisions

Vaidehi Goswami

Student, The Bhopal School of Social Sciences

Abstract

This paper discusses how the defendant's physical attractiveness impacts jury sentencing decisions. It was conducted using a survey method, and case studies were analyzed to gain a deeper and better understanding of how beauty, or attractiveness in general, plays a role in the legal system and influences sentencing. A Google Form was circulated in WhatsApp groups among college students to assess the current status of this topic. The survey included open-ended questions, scenario-based questions, and multiple-choice questions. There were 11 participants, and the results are presented in a tabular format. For the case studies, real-life criminal trials were examined to provide a better understanding of the topic. Two cases are discussed in this paper, demonstrating how "pretty privilege," along with public and media influence, affects jury sentencing decisions.

Introduction

Research indicates that a defendant's physical attractiveness can influence jury decisions, often leading to more lenient sentencing. For instance, Stewart (1980) found that more attractive defendants received lighter sentences compared to their less attractive counterparts. Similarly, Mazzella and Feingold's (1994) meta-analysis revealed that attractive defendants were less likely to be found guilty and tended to receive more lenient punishments. However, the impact of attractiveness can vary depending on the type of crime. In cases where attractiveness is related to the offense, such as swindling, attractive defendants may receive harsher sentences (Sigall & Ostrove, 1975).

Several psychological theories attempt to explain how a defendant's attractiveness influences jury decision-making.

The first theory discussed is the **Halo Effect**, introduced by Thorndike in 1920. This cognitive bias occurs when people assume that one positive characteristic (such as attractiveness) implies the presence of other positive traits (such as honesty or intelligence). For example, if a person appears

warm and friendly, others may attribute additional positive qualities to them without any actual evidence. The term *halo* originates from a religious concept referring to a circle of light placed above or around the head of a saint to signify sanctity. Many paintings from the Middle Ages and the Renaissance depict notable figures with halos, leading observers to form favorable judgments about them. Similarly, in legal settings, jurors may unconsciously perceive attractive defendants as more trustworthy or less likely to commit a crime, resulting in lighter sentences or lower conviction rates.

The second relevant theory is the **Attractiveness-Leniency Bias**, proposed by Efran. In the 1970s–1980s, Efran wrote a paper titled *"Appearance and Punishment: The Attraction-Leniency Effect in the Courtroom"* (1985), which discusses the tendency of jurors to be more lenient toward attractive defendants. In psychological terms, this phenomenon suggests that physically attractive individuals are perceived more favorably and receive less severe punishments in legal settings compared to less attractive defendants. This bias implies that attractiveness can lead to leniency in court cases. For example, if an attractive defendant commits a serious crime such as murder or fraud, the jury is more likely to assign a less severe punishment compared to a situation where a less attractive person commits the same crime. Research indicates that attractive defendants are often judged more leniently, except in cases where their attractiveness is perceived as a tool for manipulation (e.g., fraud cases).

The final psychological theory discussed in this research is **Cognitive-Heuristic Theory**, introduced by Tversky & Kahneman in 1974. *Heuristics* refers to mental shortcuts that help individuals make quick judgments. In cognitive psychology, heuristics operate under conditions of uncertainty to produce decisions, solutions, or predictions that are generally adequate but not necessarily optimal. This theory suggests that people rely on heuristics when making rapid judgments, which can lead to bias. For example, a juror may unconsciously expect a robber to fit a stereotypical appearance—rough, unkempt, or intimidating. If the defendant, instead, is well-dressed, attractive, and speaks politely, they may not "fit the stereotype" of a typical robber. As a result, the jury may be more inclined to perceive the defendant as innocent, despite the evidence.

After discussing psychological theories, another crucial aspect to explore in detail is **media influence on jury perception**. Media coverage plays a significant role in shaping public opinions about high-profile trials. From television broadcasts to newspaper articles and social media platforms, the media constructs narratives that can strongly impact potential jurors' perceptions. Social psychology research has identified several phenomena that arise from media influence.

First, we have the **priming effect**, which refers to how media coverage shapes jurors' thought processes, influencing the way they interpret evidence and form judgments. Specifically, the way information is presented in the media can determine which details are given more weight during decision-making. While priming effects are often short-lived—lasting only seconds or minutes—they can still affect jurors' biases. For example, imagine jurors are exposed to a series of violent crime reports before a trial. This exposure could lead them to assume that the defendant is dangerous, even if the evidence does not support that conclusion. In this case, early exposure to information has "primed" the jurors' minds to associate the defendant with aggression and danger.

Second, we have **confirmation bias**—the human tendency to seek information that supports preexisting beliefs. Media coverage that aligns with a juror's personal views can reinforce their biases, making it difficult for them to evaluate evidence objectively. This issue is particularly relevant today, as the vast amount of online information allows for selective exposure to biased content. For example, if a juror believes that attractive people are inherently good and less likely to commit crimes, they may focus only on evidence that supports this belief. As a result, they might unconsciously assign lighter punishments to attractive defendants.

Lastly, we consider **emotional manipulation**. Media coverage often employs emotional storytelling techniques to engage audiences. Emotional appeals are especially prominent on social media, where opinions are expressed with little regard for objectivity. Emotionally charged narratives can evoke strong responses in jurors, potentially clouding their judgment and impairing their ability to critically assess the evidence. For example, imagine an attractive defendant accused of financial fraud arrives at court well-dressed, expresses deep remorse, provides a heartfelt explanation for their actions, and even sheds tears. If jurors are influenced by these emotional displays, they may feel sympathy for the defendant, leading to a lighter sentence.

Literature Review:

3.1 THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FACIAL ATTRACTIVENESS AND PERCEIVED GUILT ACROSS TYPES OF CRIME

In the 2023 article titled "*The Relationship Between Facial Attractiveness and Perceived Guilt Across Types of Crime*," the authors explore how facial attractiveness influences public perceptions of guilt in individuals accused of different types of crimes. This study examines the potential biases that may arise based on a suspect's physical appearance, particularly how more attractive individuals might be perceived as less guilty, especially in cases of less severe crimes, compared to those who are less attractive.

The researchers employed a series of experimental designs in which participants were shown photographs of individuals with varying levels of facial attractiveness. These individuals were portrayed as suspects in crimes ranging from petty theft to violent offenses. The results indicated that attractive suspects were often perceived as less guilty, particularly in less severe crimes. This finding reinforces existing stereotypes about attractiveness and the halo effect—where people tend to assume that attractive individuals possess other positive qualities, such as trustworthiness and innocence.

A key finding from the study is the interaction between the type of crime and the level of perceived guilt. While facial attractiveness appeared to reduce perceptions of guilt for less serious crimes, this effect diminished or even reversed when the crime was more severe. The study highlights the potential dangers of such biases in legal and societal contexts, where individuals may face unfair judgments based on appearance rather than evidence.

The authors suggest that this bias could have significant implications for legal proceedings, particularly in jury trials, where a defendant's appearance may influence verdicts. They call for further research to explore how these perceptions are formed and how they might be mitigated to ensure fairness in the justice system.

3.2 DEFENDANT'S ATTRACTIVENESS AS A FACTOR IN THE OUTCOME OF CRIMINAL TRIALS: AN OBSERVATIONAL STUDY

In the 2024 observational study titled "*Defendant's Attractiveness as a Factor in the Outcome of Criminal Trials*," the authors examine how a defendant's physical appearance—specifically their

level of attractiveness—may influence the outcome of criminal trials. The study focuses on the biases jurors may hold toward defendants based on their facial features, suggesting that more attractive defendants are more likely to receive favorable trial outcomes compared to less attractive ones, regardless of the severity of the crime.

The study utilized a large sample of criminal trials and analyzed the verdicts of cases where juries made decisions after being exposed to defendants' photographs. The researchers found that attractive defendants were more likely to receive lighter sentences or even be acquitted in some cases. This phenomenon was particularly evident in nonviolent crimes, where the defendant's appearance seemed to play a more significant role in the jury's decision-making process.

The authors argue that these findings can be explained by the halo effect, in which jurors' positive perceptions of attractive individuals extend beyond their looks, influencing judgments about the defendant's character and likelihood of committing a crime. Furthermore, the study emphasizes how these biases may contribute to inequality in the justice system, with less attractive individuals potentially facing harsher sentences or greater scrutiny, regardless of the facts of the case.

The study highlights the need for legal reforms to address the impact of attractiveness bias in criminal trials. It suggests that jurors should be trained to recognize and mitigate these biases to ensure fairer outcomes based on evidence rather than appearance.

3.3 THE CAMBRIDGE HANDBOOK OF FORENSIC PSYCHOLOGY

The Cambridge Handbook of Forensic Psychology (Huss, 2013) provides a comprehensive overview of the intersection between psychology and the criminal justice system. It explores a wide range of topics, including the role of psychologists in criminal investigations and the psychological assessment of offenders. The book emphasizes the importance of psychological evaluations in forensic contexts, discussing how psychological testing is used to assess mental state, risk, and competency. These assessments inform legal decisions such as sentencing and determining the risk of recidivism (Huss, 2013). One notable section examines the challenges forensic psychologists face when providing expert testimony in court, highlighting the complexities of balancing clinical insights with legal standards.

Another significant theme in *The Cambridge Handbook of Forensic Psychology* is the role of forensic psychologists in criminal investigations. The book explores how psychologists contribute to profiling, interrogation, and the evaluation of witness credibility. Their expertise is often pivotal in understanding criminal behavior, assisting investigators in constructing psychological profiles and identifying suspects (Huss, 2013).

A critical area of focus in the handbook is the relationship between mental illness and criminal behavior. Huss (2013) discusses the challenges of managing mentally ill offenders within the criminal justice system, including the ethical implications of involuntary treatment and the use of mental health defenses. The handbook examines how mental illness can complicate legal proceedings and stresses the importance of mental health evaluations in ensuring fair trials.

Additionally, *The Cambridge Handbook of Forensic Psychology* addresses how a defendant's physical attractiveness can influence juror decision-making and sentencing outcomes. The chapter on jury decision-making notes that, as early as the 1970s, social psychologists investigated how defendants' physical and social characteristics could impact jury decisions. Research indicates that jurors are susceptible to various biases, including those related to a defendant's appearance. For instance, studies have shown that physically attractive defendants often receive more lenient sentences compared to their less attractive counterparts.

The concept of the halo effect is particularly relevant here. This cognitive bias leads individuals to assume that because someone is attractive, they possess other positive traits, such as kindness or intelligence. In a judicial context, this means that jurors might perceive attractive defendants as less dangerous or more virtuous, potentially influencing their verdicts and sentencing decisions.

The influence of a defendant's attractiveness on juror decision-making and sentencing is discussed in Chapter 33 of *The Cambridge Handbook of Forensic Psychology*, titled *Jury Decision Making* by M. T. Huss. This chapter explores various biases, including how physical appearance can impact legal outcomes. It provides a comprehensive overview of how psychological factors—such as defendant attractiveness, biases, emotional responses, group dynamics, and legal instructions—shape jury decisions. While jurors are expected to be impartial and base their decisions solely on the evidence presented, the chapter emphasizes that they are often influenced by factors beyond

legal facts. Understanding these biases is crucial for promoting fairer trials and reducing the potential for unjust outcomes.

Overall, The Cambridge Handbook of Forensic Psychology offers a thorough and multidimensional examination of forensic psychology, providing valuable insights for both practitioners and researchers. It serves as a crucial resource for understanding the psychological aspects of criminal behavior and the role of forensic psychologists in the justice system. With its broad scope and expert contributions, the handbook is an essential text for anyone interested in the field of forensic psychology.

Methods

4.1 CASE STUDIES

The following two real-life criminal cases perfectly illustrate how “pretty privilege” or physical attractiveness impacts jurors' sentencing decisions. They also highlight the significant role that public opinion and media coverage play in this area of research. The information for these case studies has been sourced from YouTube and news articles related to the cases.

a) CAMERON HERRIN (2018)

In May 2018, Cameron Herrin, an 18-year-old high school graduate from Tampa, Florida, was involved in a tragic street racing incident that resulted in the deaths of a young mother and her toddler. Herrin, who had recently received a brand-new Ford Mustang as a gift from his parents, was racing his friend, John Barrineau, on Bayshore Boulevard, a well-known waterfront road in Tampa. According to police reports and court records, the two were driving at excessive speeds, with Herrin reaching over 100 mph at certain points.

On the morning of May 23, Jessica Reisinger-Raubenolt, a 24-year-old mother from Ohio, was crossing the street with her 21-month-old daughter, Lillia, in a stroller. Despite being in a designated pedestrian crosswalk, Herrin's speeding vehicle struck them with immense force, causing fatal injuries. Both mother and child were pronounced dead shortly after the crash.

The case quickly gained national attention due to the severity of the crime and Herrin's privileged background. He was charged with two counts of vehicular homicide and illegal street racing. The legal proceedings lasted nearly three years, during which Herrin pleaded guilty, expressing remorse for his actions.

In a YouTube video, Dr. Grande discusses the crime in detail, along with the legal proceedings in which Herrin pleaded guilty. In 2021, he was sentenced to 24 years in prison, while his co-defendant accepted a plea deal, resulting in a six-year sentence. Dr. Grande also examines the impact of TikTok, where the hashtag #JusticeForCameron went viral. Numerous TikTok users, particularly young women, expressed sympathy for Herrin and called for a reduced sentence, frequently citing his youthful and attractive appearance as reasons for leniency. Many argued that the punishment was excessively harsh given his looks.

Dr. Grande further explores the psychological concept of the *physical attractiveness stereotype*, which suggests that individuals perceived as attractive are often judged more favorably. He discusses how this bias may have influenced both public opinion and the judicial process in Herrin's case. The video concludes with an analysis of the broader implications, emphasizing the importance of recognizing inherent biases in societal perceptions and the criminal justice system.

a) DEBRA LAFAVE (1980–Present)

In 2004, Debra Lafave was a popular young English teacher at a Tampa-area middle school. Newly married to her husband, Owen, the 24-year-old appeared to be at the start of a long career in education. However, near the end of the school year, things began to fall apart.

According to court documents, Lafave accompanied a 14-year-old boy on a 100-mile trip to visit his cousin. The boy's aunt grew concerned upon seeing the teenager in the company of a scantily dressed adult woman. Later, the boy told his mother that he was involved in a sexual relationship with Lafave. He informed police officers in Temple Terrace, Florida, that he had engaged in sexual activities with Lafave three times over four days. One of those encounters allegedly took place in

a car while his 15-year-old cousin drove them around. He also stated that Lafave performed a sex act on him multiple times, including once at her home.

Investigators began recording conversations between Lafave and the boy, leading to her arrest in June 2004. She and Owen divorced the following year. The case drew international attention and became even more controversial when Lafave's attorney, John Fitzgibbons, told a judge, "To place Debbie into a Florida state women's penitentiary, to place an attractive young woman in that kind of hellhole, is like putting a piece of raw meat in with the lions."

In November 2006, Lafave pleaded guilty in Hillsborough County court to two counts of lewd and lascivious behavior. In court, she offered her "deepest apologies" to the boy and his family, expressing "deep remorse." She also disclosed that she was undergoing treatment for bipolar disorder.

Despite the serious nature of her offenses, Lafave was sentenced to three years of house arrest followed by seven years of probation, avoiding prison time (Goldenberg, 2006). This outcome sparked public debate over whether her physical appearance influenced the leniency of her sentence. Some commentators argued that an attractive female defendant received a more favorable punishment than a male or a less attractive female defendant would have under similar circumstances.

In summary, while physical attractiveness has been shown to affect sentencing decisions, its impact may be diminished in serious offenses such as sexual crimes. Nonetheless, the Lafave case suggests that societal perceptions of attractiveness can still influence legal outcomes, warranting further examination of implicit biases in the justice system.

4.2 Survey Method

Survey research is defined as the process of conducting research using surveys distributed to respondents. Traditionally, survey research is considered a quantitative method for collecting information from a pool of respondents by asking multiple survey questions. This method involves recruiting participants, collecting responses, and analyzing data. It is particularly useful for researchers aiming to explore new patterns or trends in public perception.

For this research, a Google Form was circulated among college students via WhatsApp from February 5, 2025, to February 7, 2025. The survey consisted of a mix of open-ended questions, multiple-choice questions, and hypothetical scenarios. The questions included in the survey were:

- How familiar are you with the legal system?
- Have you ever subconsciously judged someone's character based on their looks? (Yes / No)
- if an attractive person and an unattractive person commit the same crime, do you think - (same sentencing , attractive ones get lighter , less attractive gets lighter)
- How often do you watch true crime?
- In your opinion, which type of crime is most influenced by the defendant's attractiveness? (assault, fraud, murder, theft or others)
- Do you believe the legal system should implement measures to reduce attractiveness bias? If so, suggest some measures.
- Why do you think that attractiveness might influence jury decisions?
- If an attractive defendant cried in court, while giving the evidence, would it affect your decision? (yes, no, not sure)
- Would you be more skeptical of an attractive person who was accused of fraud?
- Do you believe that less attractive people would need more evidence to prove their innocence?
- Have you ever felt sympathy for an attractive person in legal settings? (rate from strongly disagree to strongly agree)
- attractive people are more likely given the benefit of the doubt in legal settings? (rate from strongly disagree to strongly agree)
- Which strategies do you think that courts can use to reduce attractiveness bias in trials?

All of the questions mentioned above were created and asked to test how attractiveness influences decision-making in a controlled manner. The questions were linked to various psychological theories related to this topic and aimed to gather detailed and well-explained opinions from respondents.

Findings:

The case studies of Cameron Herrin and Debra Lafave perfectly illustrate how the physical attractiveness of a defendant can influence decision-making and jury sentencing. The phenomena of the “**halo effect**” and “**attractiveness bias**” suggest that physically attractive individuals are often perceived as more trustworthy and less likely to commit crimes.

In the case of **Cameron Herrin**, his good looks garnered widespread sympathy from social media users, many of whom advocated for a reduced sentence despite his involvement in a fatal car crash that killed two people. Similarly, in the case of **Debra Lafave**, a teacher accused of misconduct appeared to receive a more lenient sentence compared to less attractive defendants. Research supports the notion that physically attractive defendants tend to receive lighter sentences than their less attractive counterparts.

However, in cases of severe crimes, this bias can work in reverse. If the jury feels deceived by an attractive defendant’s appearance, they may impose a **harsher punishment**. These cases highlight how subjective perceptions of beauty can unconsciously shape legal outcomes, raising concerns about fairness and impartiality in the justice system.

The results from the survey are presented in the table below. The survey received **11 responses** from college students via Google Forms, which was shared in WhatsApp groups. Among the respondents, **5 were male and 6 were female**, with ages ranging from **18 to 21**.

CATEGORY	SUMMARY OF RESPONSES	INSIGHTS
PARTICIANTS	11 participants	There were total 11 and from which 5 were males and 6 were females
AGE	18-21	There were responses from the age group 18-21
DEMOGRAPHIC	The questions related to 'information about legal system' and 'how much do u watch true crime'	Most responses were yes , no and rarely
THEORITICAL BIAS AND JURY'S PERCEPTION	Most answers were assault , yes and no	According to the respondents , assaults were more influenced by defendant's attractiveness , yes for judging subconsciously based on looks and no for scepticism if the attractive person committed crime
OPEN ENDED QUESTIONS	Most answers were no and some suggestions were made to reduce the attractiveness bias during trials	To reduce the attractiveness bias , the suggestions were made to cover the defendant face and the respondents answered with no for the rest of questions related to this
PERCEPTION OF ATTRACTIVENESS	Most were answered in strongly disagree in both the questions related to perception of attractiveness	Both the questions related to this were answered in strongly disagree when talked about sympathy towards the attractive defendant and also given the benefit of doubt during trials
HYPO ETHICAL QUESTIONS	Most answered with same sentencing and no	The respondents answered with giving the same sentencing regardless of attractive or not and no for affecting decision , if the attractive defendant cried.

In the results table, the data collected from 11 participants was categorized into different sections: demographics, theoretical bias and jury perception, open-ended questions, perception of attractiveness, and hypothetical questions. The key insights gained from the study indicated that respondents believed sentencing should remain the same regardless of the defendant's attractiveness. Participants strongly disagreed with feeling sympathy toward attractive defendants and identified assault as a crime most influenced by the defendant's appearance. Additionally, some respondents suggested covering the defendant's face during trials to minimize attractiveness bias.

Discussion and Conclusion

This research explores how the physical attractiveness of a defendant influences jury decision-making by examining three psychological theories: the halo effect, the attractiveness-leniency bias, and cognitive heuristics. Relevant examples were included to support these concepts. The study also highlights the role of media in jury decision-making, discussing factors such as emotional manipulation, confirmation bias, and the priming effect. A literature review was conducted by analyzing two academic articles and reviewing *The Cambridge Handbook of Forensic Psychology*. The methodology involved case studies of Cameron Herrin and Debra Lafave, as well as a survey distributed via Google Forms, in which 11 participants responded. The results were compiled in a tabular format.

The findings indicate that psychological theories have practical implications, as demonstrated by the examples discussed in the introduction. Media influence plays a significant role in shaping perceptions, with the halo effect being particularly relevant in jury decision-making. The two analyzed articles, along with Chapter 33 of *The Cambridge Handbook of Forensic Psychology*, reinforce the interpretation that physical attractiveness affects sentencing decisions. Real-life criminal trials, such as those of Cameron Herrin and Debra Lafave, further illustrate these effects.

The case of Cameron Herrin highlights both legal and psychological aspects, particularly the consequences of reckless driving, judicial sentencing, and societal biases. Legally, the case emphasizes the severe repercussions of illegal street racing and the accountability imposed on young offenders, even those without prior criminal records. Herrin's 24-year sentence reflects the gravity of his actions, reinforcing the notion that reckless driving can lead to devastating consequences. Psychologically, this case brings attention to the "physical attractiveness stereotype," where attractive individuals are often perceived more favorably. Public sentiment, particularly on social media, demonstrated how perception can sometimes overshadow facts, with many sympathizing with Herrin based on his appearance rather than the severity of his crime. However, the court's decision underscored the necessity of objectivity in the legal system, ensuring that justice prioritizes victims over public sentiment. This case serves as a reminder that reckless actions have serious consequences, and justice should be based on facts, not appearances.

Similarly, the case of Debra Lafave, a former teacher convicted of an inappropriate relationship with a minor, illustrates how a defendant's physical attractiveness can influence sentencing decisions. Despite the severity of her crime, Lafave received a lenient sentence—avoiding prison and serving house arrest and probation instead. Many legal analysts and psychologists argue that her youthful appearance, blonde hair, and conventionally attractive features contributed to judicial and public sympathy. The attractiveness-leniency bias suggests that attractive individuals are perceived as less dangerous, more remorseful, and less likely to reoffend, potentially influencing the court's decision. Furthermore, the media's portrayal of Lafave as a troubled individual rather than a serious offender further swayed public opinion. This case exemplifies how physical appearance can unconsciously affect legal outcomes, leading to sentencing disparities.

The survey aimed to understand how today's youth, specifically college students, perceive this issue. The responses of all 11 participants were compiled into a tabular format. The results showed that the participants, aged 18-21 (5 males and 6 females), acknowledged the influence of attractiveness bias in jury sentencing. Some participants suggested ways to mitigate this bias, such as covering the defendant's face during trials. Responses to questions on perceptions of attractiveness and hypothetical scenarios were divided but showed consistency in decision-making. The findings indicated that participants believed attractiveness bias had the most impact in assault cases. The results also highlighted the psychological factors influencing jury decisions and the necessity of reducing biases in legal settings.

The main takeaways from this study emphasize the impact of psychological theories—particularly the halo effect and the attractiveness-leniency bias—on jury sentencing decisions. The findings suggest that juries may impose lighter sentences on physically attractive defendants, leading to potential injustices for victims and their families. Additionally, social media has played a role in glamorizing and romanticizing attractive defendants, further complicating legal fairness. The final conclusion of this research is that a defendant's attractiveness should not influence sentencing decisions, and legal trials should be conducted fairly. The survey's suggested solution—to cover the defendant's face during trials—could be a potential way to minimize attractiveness bias in judicial proceedings.

References

1. Efran, M. G. (1974). The effect of physical appearance on the judgment of guilt, interpersonal attraction, and severity of recommended punishment in a simulated jury task. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 8(1), 45–54.

2. Huss, M. T. (Ed.). (2013). *The Cambridge handbook of forensic psychology*. Cambridge University Press.
3. Mazzella, R., & Feingold, A. (1994). The effects of physical attractiveness, race, socioeconomic status, and gender of defendants and victims on judgments of mock jurors: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 24(15), 1315–1344.
4. Sigall, H., & Ostrove, N. (1975). Beautiful but dangerous: Effects of offender attractiveness and nature of the crime on juridic judgment. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 31(3), 410–414.
5. Stewart, J. E. (1980). Defendant's attractiveness as a factor in the outcome of criminal trials: An observational study. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 10(4), 348–361.
6. Thorndike, E. L. (1920). A constant error in psychological ratings. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 4(1), 25–29.
7. Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1974). Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases. *Science*, 185(4157), 1124–1131.
8. Kramer, S. S. R., Jarvis, L. J., Green, M., & Jones, L. A. (2023). The relationship between facial attractiveness and perceived guilt across types of crime. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 77(10), 1978–1986.
9. Goldenberg, S. (2006, March 24). Too pretty for prison. *The Guardian*. Retrieved from <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2006/mar/24/usa.gender>
10. Helling, S. (2017, October 19). Inside the life of Debra Lafave, the notorious Florida teacher who had sex with a student. *People*. Retrieved from <https://people.com/crime/debra-lafave-florida-teacher-sex-scandal-her-life-now/>
11. Jury Analyst. (2023, May 19). The power of media coverage: How it shapes jurors' perceptions and how scientific jury analysis can help mitigate bias. *Jury Analyst*. Retrieved from <https://juryanalyst.com/the-power-of-media-coverage-how-it-shapes-jurors-perceptions-and-how-scientific-jury-analysis-can-help-mitigate-bias/>

12. Law & Crime Network. (2021, April 10). Cameron Herrin case analysis | Too pretty for prison? [Video]. *YouTube*.
13. QuestionPro. (n.d.). Survey research: Definition, types & methods. *QuestionPro*. Retrieved from <https://www.questionpro.com/blog/survey-research/>