Role of the Annapurna Scheme in Promoting Women Entrepreneurship in India

Abhay Varshney
Assistant Professor,
Mangalmay Institute of Management and Technology,
Greater Noida

ABSTRACT

Women entrepreneurship has emerged as a critical pathway for inclusive economic growth, employment generation, and poverty alleviation in India. Despite policy recognition, women entrepreneurs particularly those operating at the micro and informal levels continue to face persistent constraints in accessing institutional finance. In this context, targeted credit interventions assume significance. The Annapurna Scheme, introduced by the Government of India through scheduled commercial banks, seeks to support women entrepreneurs engaged in small food-catering and allied activities by providing collateral-free working capital loans. This paper critically examines the role of the Annapurna Scheme in promoting women entrepreneurship in India using exclusively secondary data sources, including government reports, policy documents, and peer-reviewed literature.

The study goes beyond a descriptive overview by assessing the alignment between the scheme's stated objectives and its observable outcomes. It analyses coverage, financial accessibility, and the scheme's contribution to micro-enterprise development, while also identifying implementation bottlenecks such as limited awareness, regional disparities, procedural rigidities, and overlap with other credit schemes. The analysis situates the Annapurna Scheme within the broader discourse on gender-responsive financial inclusion and microcredit policy in India. The paper argues that while the scheme embodies a progressive intent, its impact remains uneven and constrained by institutional and structural limitations. The findings contribute to policy debates on strengthening targeted credit mechanisms for women entrepreneurs and offer insights for improving scheme design, delivery, and integration with complementary support systems.

Keywords: Women Entrepreneurship; Microcredit; Annapurna Scheme; Financial Inclusion; Public Policy; India

1. INTRODUCTION

Women entrepreneurship has gained increasing prominence in India's development discourse, particularly in the context of inclusive growth, gender equity, and sustainable livelihoods. Women-owned enterprises, especially at the micro and small levels, play a vital role in income generation, household welfare, and local economic development. However, despite their socio-economic significance, women entrepreneurs in India remain disproportionately concentrated in low-capital, low-productivity sectors and are often confined to informal and home-based activities (Government of India, 2022).

One of the most persistent challenges confronting women entrepreneurs is limited access to institutional finance. Structural gender biases, lack of collateral ownership, inadequate credit histories, and procedural complexities continue to restrict women's engagement with formal banking systems (RBI, 2021). As a result, many women rely on informal sources of credit, which are often costly and unstable. Recognizing these constraints, successive policy frameworks in India have emphasized the need for gender-sensitive financial inclusion and targeted credit interventions.

Within this policy landscape, the Annapurna Scheme represents a focused attempt to address the working capital needs of women entrepreneurs engaged in food catering, tiffin services, and allied micro-enterprises. The scheme provides small-ticket loans through scheduled commercial banks to enable women to purchase raw materials, utensils, and equipment essential for sustaining their enterprises (Ministry of Finance, 2020). Unlike broader credit schemes, the Annapurna Scheme is sector-specific and explicitly designed to support income-generating activities traditionally undertaken by women.

The rationale for examining the Annapurna Scheme lies in its targeted nature and its positioning at the intersection of women entrepreneurship, microfinance, and livelihood promotion. While

the scheme has been operational for several years, systematic academic evaluations of its performance, outreach, and developmental impact remain limited. This paper seeks to fill this gap by critically analysing the scheme's role in promoting women entrepreneurship in India, with particular attention to policy intent versus ground-level outcomes. By doing so, the study contributes to a nuanced understanding of gender-responsive credit policies and their effectiveness in addressing entrenched financial exclusion.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The literature on women entrepreneurship in India broadly converges on the argument that women-owned enterprises possess significant potential for inclusive growth but remain constrained by structural, financial, and institutional barriers. A recurring theme across empirical and policy-oriented studies is the centrality of access to finance as both an enabler and a constraint in women's entrepreneurial trajectories.

2.1 Women Entrepreneurship and Structural Constraints

Scholarly research consistently highlights that women entrepreneurs in India are overrepresented in micro and informal enterprises, often driven by necessity rather than opportunity (Ghosh, 2019). These enterprises typically operate in low-capital sectors such as food processing, catering, tailoring, and petty trade. Studies emphasize that patriarchal norms, limited asset ownership, restricted mobility, and unpaid care responsibilities significantly shape women's entrepreneurial choices and scale of operations (Datta & Gailey, 2012). Consequently, women entrepreneurs face difficulties in enterprise expansion and formalization, reinforcing a cycle of low productivity and vulnerability.

2.2 Microcredit and Financial Inclusion

The role of microcredit in promoting women entrepreneurship has been extensively debated. Early microfinance literature viewed access to small loans as a transformative tool for women's economic empowerment (Yunus, 2007). However, more recent studies adopt a critical lens, suggesting that while microcredit enhances consumption smoothing and short-term income stability, its impact on enterprise growth and empowerment is uneven (Banerjee et al., 2015). In the Indian context, institutional microcredit schemes have been found to improve women's

engagement with formal finance but often fall short in enabling business scaling due to small loan sizes, rigid repayment structures, and limited complementary support such as training and market access (RBI, 2021).

2.3 Policy Interventions for Women Entrepreneurs

Government-led credit schemes targeted at women entrepreneurs reflect an evolving policy emphasis on gender-responsive financial inclusion. Studies evaluating schemes such as the Stand-Up India Scheme and MUDRA Yojana suggest that targeted lending has improved credit outreach to women, particularly first-time borrowers (Ministry of Finance, 2022). However, researchers note persistent gaps between policy design and implementation, including regional disparities, low awareness among beneficiaries, and risk-averse behavior by lending institutions (Kumar & Gupta, 2020). Moreover, scheme overlaps and fragmentation often dilute the effectiveness of targeted interventions.

2.4 Sector-Specific Credit Schemes and the Annapurna Scheme

Literature specifically addressing the Annapurna Scheme remains sparse, and most references to the scheme appear within broader discussions of women-centric credit initiatives. Available policy analyses indicate that the scheme was conceptualized to address working capital constraints faced by women engaged in food-based micro-enterprises—an area with low entry barriers but high competition (Government of India, 2020). However, limited empirical assessments suggest that the scheme's outreach has been modest compared to flagship programmes like MUDRA, raising questions about its visibility, institutional prioritization, and scalability (RBI, 2021).

2.5 Synthesis and Research Gap

The reviewed literature underscores that while targeted credit schemes are necessary for promoting women entrepreneurship, their effectiveness depends on design adequacy, institutional delivery, and integration with broader entrepreneurial ecosystems. A notable gap exists in systematic, scheme-specific evaluations of smaller, sector-focused interventions such as the Annapurna Scheme. There is limited academic work critically assessing whether such schemes achieve their intended outcomes or merely replicate the limitations observed in broader

microcredit initiatives. This paper addresses this gap by providing a focused analytical assessment of the Annapurna Scheme within India's women entrepreneurship policy framework.

2.6 Research Objectives

The present study is guided by the following objectives:

1. To examine the policy rationale and design of the Annapurna Scheme in the context of women entrepreneurship in India.

2. To assess the extent to which the Annapurna Scheme has enhanced financial accessibility for women micro-entrepreneurs.

3. To analyse the contribution of the scheme to micro-enterprise development among women engaged in food-related activities.

4. To identify key implementation challenges, institutional constraints, and regional disparities associated with the scheme.

5. To derive policy-relevant insights for strengthening targeted credit interventions for women entrepreneurs.

2.7 Research Questions

Based on the above objectives, the study seeks to address the following research questions:

1. What are the underlying policy objectives and operational features of the Annapurna Scheme?

2. To what extent has the scheme improved access to institutional credit for women micro-entrepreneurs?

3. How effectively has the scheme contributed to the sustainability and development of women-led micro-enterprises?

4. What structural, institutional, and regional factors limit the effectiveness of the Annapurna Scheme?

5. How can the scheme be strengthened to better support women entrepreneurship within India's evolving financial inclusion framework?

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Nature of the Study

The present study adopts a **purely secondary data–based research design**, consistent with the objectives of critically examining a public policy intervention. No primary survey, interviews, or field-level data collection has been undertaken. The study relies on an analytical and interpretative approach to assess the role of the Annapurna Scheme in promoting women entrepreneurship in India. Such a design is appropriate given the policy-oriented nature of the scheme and the availability of authoritative secondary data from government and institutional sources (Creswell & Creswell, 2018).

3.2 Sources of Data

The analysis is based exclusively on **authentic and reputable secondary sources**, ensuring academic rigor and credibility. The key sources include:

- **Government of India publications**, including budget documents, policy notes, and scheme guidelines issued by the Ministry of Finance and Ministry of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSME)
- **Reserve Bank of India (RBI)** reports on financial inclusion, priority sector lending, and credit flow to women entrepreneurs
- **NABARD and SIDBI** reports related to microfinance, women-centric credit schemes, and MSME financing
- **Peer-reviewed journal articles** indexed in Scopus and Web of Science focusing on women entrepreneurship, microcredit, and development finance
- **International institutional reports**, such as those published by the World Bank and the International Labour Organization (ILO), where relevant for contextual comparison

All data and interpretations presented in the study are drawn from these sources, and no figures or outcomes have been assumed beyond what is documented in the literature (NABARD, 2020).

3.3 Analytical Framework

The study employs a **qualitative policy analysis framework**, integrating insights from development economics, gender studies, and public finance literature. The analytical assessment is structured around the following dimensions:

- **Policy intent versus outcomes**, examining the alignment between stated objectives and observable patterns of implementation
- **Coverage and outreach**, focusing on the scheme's visibility, beneficiary reach, and regional spread
- **Financial accessibility**, including loan size adequacy, procedural requirements, and institutional delivery mechanisms
- **Enterprise-level relevance**, assessing the scheme's potential contribution to micro-enterprise sustainability and income generation
- **Institutional and structural constraints**, such as awareness gaps, banking practices, and scheme overlap

Conceptually, the analysis situates the Annapurna Scheme within a broader **gender-responsive financial inclusion framework**, wherein access to credit is viewed as a necessary but insufficient condition for sustainable entrepreneurship (RBI, 2021).

3.4 Limitations of the Study

While the secondary-data approach ensures reliability and ethical rigor, it also entails certain limitations:

1. **Absence of beneficiary-level insights**: The study does not capture lived experiences or micro-level outcomes of women entrepreneurs due to the lack of primary data.
2. **Data aggregation constraints**: Scheme-specific disaggregated data on beneficiaries and enterprise outcomes are limited in publicly available sources, restricting granular analysis.
3. **Attribution challenges**: Isolating the impact of the Annapurna Scheme from other overlapping credit initiatives remains methodologically complex.
4. **Temporal limitations**: Some official datasets are published with time lags, which may affect the assessment of recent developments.

These limitations are acknowledged to ensure transparency and to guide cautious interpretation of findings. Nevertheless, the study provides a robust and policy-relevant analysis by triangulating multiple authoritative sources.

4. OVERVIEW OF THE ANNAPURNA SCHEME

The Annapurna Scheme is a targeted, women-centric microcredit initiative implemented through scheduled commercial banks in India. It is designed to provide working capital finance to women entrepreneurs engaged in small-scale food catering, tiffin services, and allied activities. The scheme primarily addresses operational credit needs rather than long-term capital investment, reflecting an understanding of the cash-flow-intensive nature of food-based micro-enterprises (Ministry of Finance, 2020).

Unlike broader credit programmers, the Annapurna Scheme adopts a **sector-specific livelihood orientation**, focusing on enterprises that traditionally employ women and operate at the household or neighborhood level. Loans under the scheme are relatively small in size and are intended to finance raw materials, utensils, fuel, and basic equipment. Although positioned as collateral-light, bank-level practices often involve third-party guarantees or additional documentation, which affects effective accessibility (RBI, 2021).

From a policy standpoint, the scheme aligns with India's financial inclusion agenda and gender-responsive credit policy. However, its limited scale, absence of strong institutional anchoring, and lack of integration with enterprise development services raise questions regarding its long-term entrepreneurial impact.

5 ANALYTICAL ASSESSMENT OF THE ANNAPURNA SCHEME

5.1 Coverage and Outreach

Secondary evidence indicates that the outreach of the Annapurna Scheme has been **narrow and spatially uneven**. Compared to flagship schemes such as MUDRA, Annapurna has received limited institutional visibility and promotional support (NABARD, 2020). Uptake is relatively

higher in urban and semi-urban areas, while penetration in rural regions remains weak, despite higher concentrations of women engaged in food-based informal enterprises.

Table 1: Coverage Characteristics of the Annapurna Scheme

Dimension	**Observed Pattern (Secondary Evidence)**	**Analytical Interpretation**
Geographic spread	Uneven across states	Regional banking capacity shapes access
Rural outreach	Limited	Awareness and documentation barriers
Institutional priority	Low compared to MUDRA	Scheme overshadowed by larger programmes

Source: RBI (2021)

5.2 Financial Accessibility for Women Entrepreneurs

While the scheme aims to enhance financial inclusion, **loan size adequacy** emerges as a major constraint. Literature on women micro-entrepreneurs suggests that very small loans often support subsistence rather than entrepreneurial expansion (Garikipati et al., 2017). Additionally, procedural requirements—such as guarantees and credit history—reduce accessibility for first-time borrowers, undermining the scheme's inclusionary intent.

Table 2: Financial Accessibility Assessment

Parameter	**Policy Design**	**Ground-Level Outcome**
Loan size	Small working capital	Often insufficient for growth
Collateral requirement	Minimal (in principle)	Bank discretion persists
Target beneficiaries	Informal women entrepreneurs	Partial inclusion

Source: RBI (2021

5.3 Contribution to Micro-Enterprise Development

The Annapurna Scheme appears to contribute primarily to **enterprise continuity rather than enterprise transformation**. Access to working capital may stabilize income flows and reduce dependence on informal lenders, but evidence of business scaling, diversification, or formalization remains limited. This finding is consistent with broader microfinance literature that cautions against equating credit access with entrepreneurial success (Banerjee et al., 2015).

5.4 Institutional and Structural Challenges

Institutional challenges significantly influence scheme outcomes. Risk-averse lending behavior, lack of standardized implementation guidelines, and absence of a dedicated monitoring framework weaken effectiveness. Furthermore, the scheme operates in isolation from skill development, hygiene certification, and market linkage initiatives, which are crucial for food-based enterprises.

Table 3: Institutional Challenges and Implications

Challenge	**Evidence**	**Policy Implication**
Low bank incentive	RBI reports	Need credit guarantees
Fragmented schemes	Ministry of Finance	Convergence required
Weak monitoring	NABARD reviews	Outcome tracking needed

6. DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

The revised analysis demonstrates that the Annapurna Scheme functions more effectively as a **livelihood support mechanism** than as a driver of entrepreneurial growth. While its policy intent is progressive, outcomes remain constrained by limited outreach, modest loan sizes, and institutional inertia. The scheme's sectoral focus is conceptually sound, yet its isolation from broader enterprise development frameworks limits its developmental impact.

A key insight emerging from the analysis is the **disconnect between financial inclusion and entrepreneurial empowerment**. Access to credit alone does not automatically translate into sustainable entrepreneurship, particularly in gendered informal sectors where market access, skills, and regulatory compliance pose significant barriers (ILO, 2018).

7. POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The findings underscore three critical policy implications:

1. **Credit-only approaches are insufficient** for promoting women entrepreneurship.
2. **Small, targeted schemes require strong institutional backing** to avoid marginalization.
3. **Regional disparities in financial access persist**, necessitating place-sensitive policy design.

8. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR STRENGTHENING THE ANNAPURNA SCHEME

Table 4: Policy Recommendations

Area	**Recommendation**
Outreach	Targeted awareness via SHGs & local bodies
Design	Flexible loan limits linked to enterprise stage
Integration	Link credit with training & certification
Monitoring	Introduce outcome-based evaluation
Policy alignment	Position scheme as entry point to MSME finance

9. CONCLUSION

This paper critically examined the role of the Annapurna Scheme in promoting women entrepreneurship in India through a secondary data–based policy analysis. Situating the scheme within the broader discourse on women entrepreneurship, financial inclusion, and microcredit

policy, the study assessed the extent to which the scheme's objectives align with its observable outcomes.

The analysis indicates that the Annapurna Scheme addresses a genuine and persistent constraint faced by women micro-entrepreneurs—access to working capital for livelihood-oriented enterprises. Its sector-specific focus on food catering and allied activities reflects a contextual understanding of women's entrepreneurial participation in India. However, the findings suggest that the scheme's contribution has largely remained **subsistence-oriented**, supporting enterprise continuity rather than enabling growth, diversification, or formalization.

Key limitations identified include limited outreach and visibility, uneven regional implementation, modest loan sizes, and significant institutional discretion at the bank level. The absence of structured monitoring mechanisms and weak integration with non-financial enterprise support further constrain the scheme's developmental potential. In comparison to larger credit initiatives, the Annapurna Scheme has remained marginal within the policy ecosystem, raising concerns about fragmentation and institutional neglect.

Overall, the study concludes that while the Annapurna Scheme embodies a progressive intent aligned with gender-responsive financial inclusion, its effectiveness as a tool for promoting sustainable women entrepreneurship remains partial. Strengthening the scheme requires moving beyond a narrow credit-delivery approach toward a more integrated and outcome-oriented policy design.

10. SCOPE FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

The present study opens several avenues for future research. First, **primary, micro-level studies** capturing beneficiary experiences could provide deeper insights into enterprise outcomes, repayment behavior, and empowerment effects associated with the scheme. Second, **comparative empirical analyses** between the Annapurna Scheme and other women-centric credit programmes could help identify best practices and design efficiencies. Third, future research may explore the **intersection of credit access with social norms, care responsibilities, and market constraints**, particularly in food-based enterprises dominated by women. Finally, longitudinal studies assessing enterprise trajectories over time would be valuable in

understanding whether targeted microcredit schemes facilitate graduation from subsistence to growth-oriented entrepreneurship.

REFERENCES

1. Banerjee, A., Duflo, E., Glennerster, R., & Kinnan, C. (2015). The miracle of microfinance? Evidence from a randomized evaluation. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, 7*(1), 22–53
2. Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). Sage Publications.
3. Datta, P. B., & Gailey, R. (2012). Empowering women through social entrepreneurship: Case study of a women's cooperative in India. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 36*(3), 569–587.
4. Garikipati, S., Johnson, S., Guérin, I., & Szafarz, A. (2017). Microfinance and gender: Issues, challenges and the road ahead. *Journal of Development Studies, 53*(5), 641–648.
5. Government of India. (2020). *Financial inclusion and credit schemes for women*. Ministry of Finance.
6. Government of India. (2022). *Annual report 2021–22*. Ministry of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises.
7. International Labour Organization. (2018). *Women and men in the informal economy: A statistical picture* (3rd ed.). ILO.
8. Kabeer, N. (2012). Women's economic empowerment and inclusive growth: Labour markets and enterprise development. *International Development Research Centre*.
9. Ministry of Finance. (2022). *Economic Survey 2021–22*. Government of India.
10. NABARD. (2020). *Status of microfinance in India*. National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development.
11. Reserve Bank of India. (2021). *Report on trend and progress of banking in India*. RBI.
12. SIDBI. (2021). *MSME financing and women entrepreneurship in India*. Small Industries Development Bank of India.
13. World Bank. (2019). *Profiting from parity: Unlocking the potential of women's businesses in Africa and South Asia*. World Bank Group.